

Docket No.: 249444US3

OBLON
SPIVAK
MCCLELLAND
MAIER
- &NEUSTADT
- RC.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

RE: Application Serial No.: 10/790,497

Applicants: Satoshi YAMAGUCHI

Filing Date: March 1, 2004

For: MANUFACTURING METHOD AND APPARATUS

OF MAGNETIC HEAD DEVICE, AND MAGNETIC

HEAD DEVICE Group Art Unit: 2627 Examiner: WATKO, J.A.

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Our check in the amount of \$0.00 is attached covering any required fees. In the event any variance exists between the amount enclosed and the Patent Office charges for filing the above-noted documents, including any fees required under 37 C.F.R 1.136 for any necessary Extension of Time to make the filing of the attached documents timely, please charge or credit the difference to our Deposit Account No. 15-0030. Further, if these papers are not considered timely filed, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 for the necessary extension of time. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,

MATER & NEUSTADTOP.

Gregory J. Maier

Registration No. 25,599

Customer Number

22850

(703) 413-3000 (phone) (703) 413-2220 (fax) Raymond F. Cardillo, Jr. Registration No. 40,440



DOCKET NO: 249444US3

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF

SATOSHI YAMAGUCHI : EXAMINER: WATKO, J.A.

SERIAL NO: 10/790,497

FILED: MARCH 1, 2004 : GROUP ART UNIT: 2627

FOR: MANUFACTURING METHOD AND APPARATUS OF MAGNETIC HEAD

DEVICE, AND MAGNETIC HEAD

DEVICE

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

SIR:

In response to the Restriction Requirement dated July 18, 2006, Applicants provisionally elect, *with traverse*, Group I, Claims 1-14, for further examination on the merits in the present application.

Applicants respectfully traverse the Restriction Requirement for the following reason. MPEP § 803 states:

If the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the Examiner must examine it on the merits, even though it includes claims to distinct or independent inventions.

The claims of the present invention would appear to be part of an overlapping search area.

Application No. 10/790,497 Reply to Office Action of July 18, 2006

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully traverse the outstanding Restriction Requirement on the grounds that a search and examination of the entire application would not place a serious burden on the Examiner. Therefore, an action on all of Claims 1-18 is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,

MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{Customer Number} \\ 22850 \end{array}$

Tel: (703) 413-3000 Fax: (703) 413 -2220 (OSMMN 06/04) Gregory J. Maier Attorney of Record Registration No. 25,599

Raymond F. Cardillo, Jr. Registration No. 40,440